arXiv:1303.0106v1 [math.CV] 1 Mar 2013

ONE PARAMETER REGULARIZATIONS OF PRODUCTS OF RESIDUE CURRENTS

MATS ANDERSSON & HÅKAN SAMUELSSON KALM &
ELIZABETH WULCAN & ALAIN YGER

Abstract. We show that Coleff-Herrera type products of residue currents can be defined by analytic continuation of natural functions depending on one complex variable.

1. Introduction

Let f be a holomorphic function defined on a domain in $\mathbb{C}^n$. It is proved in [15] using Hironaka's desingularization theorem that if φ is a test form then

$$\lim_{\epsilon\to 0^+}\int_{|f|^2>\epsilon}\varphi/f$$

exists and defines the action of a current, denoted $1/f$. The $\bar\partial$-image, $\bar\partial(1/f)$, is the residue current of f and it has the useful property that it is annihilated by a holomorphic function g if and only if g is in the ideal generated by f. If $f_1,\dots,f_q$ are holomorphic functions then the *Coleff-Herrera product* of the currents $\bar\partial(1/f_j)$ is defined as follows. For a test form φ of bidegree $(n,n-q)$ consider the residue integral

$$I_f^{\varphi}(\epsilon)=\int_{T(\epsilon)}\frac{\varphi}{f_1\cdots f_q},$$

where $T(\epsilon)=\cap_1^q\{|f_j|^2=\epsilon_j\}$. It is proved in [12] that the limit of $\epsilon\mapsto I_f^{\varphi}(\epsilon)$ exists if $\epsilon=(\epsilon_1,\dots,\epsilon_q)\to 0$ along a path in $\mathbb{R}_+^q$ such that $\epsilon_j/\epsilon_{j+1}^k\to 0$ for all $k\in\mathbb{N}$ and $j=1,\dots,q-1$; such a path is said to be *admissible*. Moreover, the limit defines the action of a current, the Coleff-Herrera product

$$\bar\partial\frac{1}{f_q}\wedge\cdots\wedge\bar\partial\frac{1}{f_1}.\varphi := \text{“}\lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\text{”}\, I_f^{\varphi}(\epsilon), \tag{1.1}$$

where “lim” means the limit along an admissible path as above. Following Passare [19], let χ be a smooth approximation of the characteristic function $\mathbf{1}_{[1,\infty)}$ and consider the smooth form

$$\frac{\bar\partial\chi(|f_q|^2/\epsilon_q)}{f_q}\wedge\cdots\wedge\frac{\bar\partial\chi(|f_1|^2/\epsilon_1)}{f_1}. \tag{1.2}$$

It follows from [16, Theorem 2] or the proof of [19, Proposition 2] that the limit in the sense of currents of (1.2) as $\epsilon\to 0$ along an admissible path equals the Coleff-Herrera product, and moreover, that one gets the same result if one first lets $\epsilon_1\to 0$, then lets $\epsilon_2\to 0$ and so on. The Coleff-Herrera product is thus indeed the result of an

---

Date: March 4, 2013.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 32A26, 32A27, 32B15, 32C30.

First three authors partly supported by the Swedish Research Council.

iterative procedure. In general there are no obvious commutation properties, e.g., $\bar\partial(1/zw)\wedge\bar\partial(1/z)=0$ whereas $\bar\partial(1/z)\wedge\bar\partial(1/zw)=\bar\partial(1/z^2)\wedge\bar\partial(1/w)$, where the last product is simply a tensor product. However, if $f=(f_1,\dots,f_q)$ defines a complete intersection, i.e., $\operatorname{codim}\{f=0\}=q$, then the Coleff-Herrera product depends in an anticommutative way of the ordering of the tuple f; in fact by [11] the smooth form (1.2) then converges unconditionally. Moreover, also in the complete intersection case, a holomorphic function annihilates the Coleff-Herrera product if and only if it is in the ideal $\langle f_1,\dots,f_q\rangle$; this last property is called the *duality property* and it was proved independently by Dickenstein-Sessa, [13], and Passare, [18].

In this paper we consider another approach to Coleff-Herrera type products; it is based on analytic continuation and has been studied in, e.g., [6, 7, 10, 20, 27]. For $\lambda_j\in\mathbb{C}$ with $\mathfrak{Re}\,\lambda_j\gg 0$, let

$$\Gamma_f^\varphi(\lambda_1,\dots,\lambda_q)=\int\frac{\bar\partial|f_q|^{2\lambda_q}\wedge\cdots\wedge\bar\partial|f_1|^{2\lambda_1}}{f_1\cdots f_q}\wedge\varphi,$$

where φ is a test form. It is standard to see that $\lambda_1\mapsto\Gamma_f^\varphi(\lambda_1,\dots,\lambda_q)$ has an analytic continuation to a neighborhood of 0 and that $\Gamma_f^\varphi(0,\lambda_2,\dots,\lambda_q)$ equals

$$\frac{\bar\partial|f_q|^{2\lambda_q}}{f_q}\wedge\cdots\wedge\frac{\bar\partial|f_2|^{2\lambda_2}}{f_2}\wedge\bar\partial\frac{1}{f_1}.\varphi.$$

From [5, Proposition 2.1] it follows that $\lambda_2\mapsto\Gamma_f^\varphi(0,\lambda_2,\dots,\lambda_q)$ is analytic at 0, that $\lambda_3\mapsto\Gamma_f^\varphi(0,0,\lambda_3,\dots,\lambda_q)$ is too, and so on. Once one knows that the Coleff-Herrera product is obtained by letting $\epsilon_j\to 0$ successively in (1.2) it is not that hard to see that

$$\bar\partial\frac{1}{f_q}\wedge\cdots\wedge\bar\partial\frac{1}{f_1}.\varphi=\Gamma_f^\varphi(\lambda_1,\dots,\lambda_q)|_{\lambda_1=0}\cdots|_{\lambda_q=0},$$

where the expression on the right hand side means that we first let $\lambda_1\to 0$, then let $\lambda_2\to 0$ etc; see, e.g., [16, Theorem 2]. However, from an algebraic point of view, cf. [8, Theorem 3.2], it is often desirable to have a current given as the value at 0 of a single one-variable analytic function; this is the motivation for this paper. From Theorem 1.1 below it follows that if $\mu_1>\cdots>\mu_q>0$ are integers, then $\lambda\mapsto\Gamma_f^\varphi(\lambda^{\mu_1},\dots,\lambda^{\mu_q})$, a priori defined for $\mathfrak{Re}\,\lambda\gg 0$, has an analytic continuation to a neighborhood of $[0,\infty)\subset\mathbb{C}$ and that the value at $\lambda=0$ equals the Coleff-Herrera product (1.1). Notice that this way of letting $(\lambda_1,\dots,\lambda_q)\to 0$ is analogous to limits along admissible paths in the sense that λ_j goes to zero much faster than λ_{j+1}, $j=1,\dots,q-1$.

We remark that if f defines a complete intersection then it is showed in [23] that $\Gamma_f^\varphi(\lambda)$ is analytic in a neighborhood of the half-space $\{\mathfrak{Re}\,\lambda_j\geq 0, j=1,\dots,q\}$.

—

Let us now consider a more general setting. Let f be a section of a Hermitian vector bundle E of rank m over a reduced complex space X of pure dimension n. In [22] and [1] were introduced currents U and R, generalizing the currents $1/f$ and $\bar\partial(1/f)$, respectively. These currents are based on Bochner-Martinelli type expressions. To be precise, let $f=f_1e_1+\cdots+f_me_m$, where $\{e_k\}_k$ is a local holomorphic frame for E with dual frame $\{e_k^*\}_k$, and let $s=s_1e_1^*+\cdots+s_me_m^*$ be the section of the dual bundle E^* with pointwise minimal norm such that $f\cdot s=|f|_E^2$. For $\lambda\in\mathbb{C}$, $\mathfrak{Re}\,\lambda\gg 0$, we let

$$U^\lambda := \sum_{k=1}^{m} |f|_E^{2\lambda} \frac{s\wedge(\bar\partial s)^{k-1}}{|f|_E^{2k}}, \tag{1.3}$$

where $(0,1)$-forms anticommute with the e_k^*. It turns out, [1], [22], that $\lambda\mapsto U^\lambda$, considered as a current-valued map, has an analytic continuation to a neighborhood of 0. The value at $\lambda=0$ is a current U on X that takes values in ΛE^*; U is the standard extension of $\sum_k s\wedge(\bar\partial s)^{k-1}/|f|_E^{2k}$ across $\{f=0\}$. If E has rank 1, then $U=(1/f)e^*$ for any choice of metric. Let

$$R^\lambda := 1-|f|_E^{2\lambda} + \sum_{k=1}^{m} \bar\partial |f|_E^{2\lambda} \wedge \frac{s\wedge(\bar\partial s)^{k-1}}{|f|_E^{2k}}. \tag{1.4}$$

Letting $\nabla_f := \delta_f - \bar\partial$, where δ_f denotes interior multiplication with f, one can check that $R^\lambda = 1-\nabla_f U^\lambda$, see [1] for details. It follows that $\lambda\mapsto R^\lambda$ has an analytic continuation to a neighborhood of 0 and the value at $\lambda=0$ is the current R; it is straightforward to check that R has support on $\{f=0\}$. If E has rank 1 then $R=\bar\partial(1/f)\wedge e^*$ and more generally, if f defines a complete intersection then $R=\bar\partial(1/f_m)\wedge\cdots\wedge\bar\partial(1/f_1)\wedge e_1^*\wedge\cdots\wedge e_m^*$ for any choice of metric, see [1] and [22].

The value at $\lambda=0$ of the term $1-|f|_E^{2\lambda}$ of R^λ is the restriction $\mathbf{1}_{\{f=0\}}$ to the zero set of f, see [5]. In itself it is zero unless f vanishes identically on some components of X in which case it simply is 1 there. However, when forming products of R's the role of $\mathbf{1}_{f=0}$ is much more significant, cf. [3] and Example 1.3.

Let f_j be a section of a Hermitian vector bundle E_j of rank m_j, let U^j and R^j be the associated currents, and let $U^{j,\lambda}$ and $R^{j,\lambda}$ be the corresponding λ-regularizations. Following, e.g., [3] and [16] we define products of the R^j recursively as follows. Having defined $R^k\wedge\cdots\wedge R^1$, consider the current-valued function

$$\lambda\mapsto R^{k+1,\lambda}\wedge R^k\wedge\cdots\wedge R^1,$$

a priori defined for $\mathfrak{Re}\,\lambda\gg 0$. It turns out, see, e.g., [5] or [16], that it can be analytically continued to a neighborhood of 0, and we let $R^{k+1}\wedge\cdots\wedge R^1$ be the value at $\lambda=0$.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $\mu_1>\cdots>\mu_q$ be positive integers. Then the current-valued function*

$$\lambda\mapsto R^{q,\lambda^{\mu_q}}\wedge\cdots\wedge R^{1,\lambda^{\mu_1}},$$

a priori defined for $\mathfrak{Re}\,\lambda\gg 0$, has an analytic continuation to a neighborhood of the half-axis $[0,\infty)\subset\mathbb{C}$ and the value at $\lambda=0$ is $R^q\wedge\cdots\wedge R^1$.

To connect with Coleff-Herrera type products, let χ be the characteristic function $\mathbf{1}_{[1,\infty)}$ or a smooth regularization thereof and let

$$R^{j,\epsilon_j} := 1-\chi(|f_j|_{E_j}^2/\epsilon_j) + \sum_{k=1}^{m_j} \bar\partial\chi(|f_j|_{E_j}^2/\epsilon_j)\wedge\frac{s_j\wedge(\bar\partial s_j)^{k-1}}{|f_j|_{E_j}^{2k}}.$$

If φ is a test form on X, then the limit of

$$\int_X R^{q,\epsilon_q}\wedge\cdots\wedge R^{1,\epsilon_1}\wedge\varphi \tag{1.5}$$

as $\epsilon\to 0$ along an admissible path exists and equals the action of $R^q\wedge\cdots\wedge R^1$ on φ, see [16].

Let us mention a version of Theorem 1.1 with connection to intersection theory. Let f be a section of E and let

$$M^\lambda := 1 - |f|_E^{2\lambda} + \sum_{k\geq 1} \bar\partial |f|_E^{2\lambda} \wedge \frac{\partial \log |f|_E^2}{2\pi i} \wedge (dd^c \log |f|_E^2)^{k-1},$$

where $dd^c = \bar\partial\partial/2\pi i$. It is showed in [3] that $\lambda \mapsto M^\lambda$ has an analytic continuation to a neighborhood of 0 and that the value at $\lambda = 0$ is a positive closed current, which we denote by M. One can give a meaning to the product $(dd^c \log |f|_E^2)^k$ for arbitrary k that extends the classical one for $k \leq \operatorname{codim}\{f = 0\}$, and from [3] it follows that

$$M = \mathbf{1}_Z + \sum_{k\geq 1} \mathbf{1}_Z (dd^c \log |f|_E^2)^k,$$

where $\mathbf{1}_Z$ is the restriction to the zero set Z of f. The current M is closely connected to R. For instance, if X is smooth and D is the Chern connection on E then it follows from [2] that

$$M_k = R_k \cdot (Df/2\pi i)^k/k!,$$

where the subscript k means the component of bidegree $(*, k)$.

Let $f_1, \ldots, f_q$ be sections of Hermitian vector bundles E_j and let $M^1, \ldots, M^q$ be the associated current. One can define products of the M^j recursively as for the R^j and we have the following analogue of Theorem 1.1.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $\mu_1 > \cdots > \mu_q$ be positive integers. Then the current-valued function*

$$\lambda \mapsto M^{q,\lambda^{\mu_q}} \wedge \cdots \wedge M^{1,\lambda^{\mu_1}},$$

a priori defined for $\mathfrak{Re}\,\lambda \gg 0$, has an analytic continuation to a neighborhood of the half-axis $[0, \infty) \subset \mathbb{C}$ and the value at $\lambda = 0$ is $M^q \wedge \cdots \wedge M^1$.

Example 1.3 (Example 5.6 in [3])**.** Let $\mathcal{J}_x \subset \mathscr{O}_{X,x}$ be an ideal and let $h_1, \ldots, h_n \in \mathcal{J}_x$ be a generic Vogel sequence of $\mathcal{J}_x$; see, e.g., [3] for the definition. By the Stückrad-Vogel procedure, [24], adapted to the local situation, [17], [25], one gets an associated Vogel cycle V^h; the multiplicities of the components of various dimensions of V^h are the Segre numbers, [14], used in excess intersection theory. By Theorem 1.2 we have that

$$\lambda \mapsto \bigwedge_{k=1}^{n} \big(1 - |h_k|^{2\lambda^{\mu_k}} + \bar\partial |h_k|^{2\lambda^{\mu_k}} \wedge \partial \log |h_k|^2/2\pi i\big)$$

is analytic at 0 and by [3] the value there is the Lelong current associated with V^h; see [3] for more details.

Remark 1.4. Assume that $\operatorname{codim} \cap_j \{f_j = 0\} = m_1 + \cdots + m_q$. Then $M^j = (dd^c \log |f_j|_{E_j}^2)^{m_j} = [f_j = 0]$, where $[f_j = 0]$ is the Lelong current of the fundamental cycle of f_j, and more generally,

$$M^q \wedge \cdots \wedge M^1 = [f_q = 0] \wedge \cdots \wedge [f_1 = 0],$$

i.e., the current representing the proper intersection of the cycles $[f_j = 0]$.

In this case the current-valued function

$$(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_q) \mapsto R^{q,\lambda_q} \wedge \cdots \wedge R^{1,\lambda_1}$$

has an analytic continuation to a neighborhood of the origin in $\mathbb{C}^q$, [16], and the value at $\lambda = 0$ is the R-current associated to $\oplus_j f_j$, [26]. Moreover, by [16], (1.5) depends Hölder continuously on $\epsilon \in [0,\infty)^q$ if χ is smooth. The smoothness of χ is necessary in view of the example in [21, Section 1].

2. Proof of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2

We will actually prove a slightly more general result than Theorem 1.1; we will allow mixed products of U^j and R^k. Let P^j denote either U^j or R^j and let P^{j,λ_j} be the corresponding λ-regularization, (1.3) or (1.4). One defines products of the P^j recursively as above.

Theorem 1.1'. *Let $\mu_1 > \cdots > \mu_q$ be positive integers. Then the current-valued function*

$$\lambda \mapsto P^{q,\lambda^{\mu_q}} \wedge \cdots \wedge P^{1,\lambda^{\mu_1}},$$

a priori defined for $\mathfrak{Re}\,\lambda \gg 0$, has an analytic continuation to a neighborhood of the half-axis $[0,\infty) \subset \mathbb{C}$ and the value at 0 is $P^q \wedge \cdots \wedge P^1$.

Let $\pi\colon X' \to X$ be a smooth modification of X such that $\{\pi^* f_j = 0\}$, $j = 1, \dots, q$, and $\cup_j \{\pi^* f_j = 0\}$ are normal crossings divisors. Then locally in X' we can write $\pi^* f_j = f_j^0 f_j'$, where f_j^0 is a monomial in local coordinates and f_j' is a non-vanishing holomorphic tuple. It follows that $s_j = \bar{f}_j^0 s_j'$, where s_j' is a smooth section. A straightforward computation shows that

$$\pi^* R^{j,\lambda_j} = 1 - |f_j^0|^{2\lambda_j} u_j^{2\lambda_j} + \sum_{k=1}^{m_j} \frac{\bar\partial(|f_j^0|^{2\lambda_j} u_j^{2\lambda_j})}{(f_j^0)^k} \wedge \vartheta_{jk},$$

$$\pi^* U^{j,\lambda_j} = \sum_{k=1}^{m_j} \frac{|f_j^0|^{2\lambda_j} u_j^{2\lambda_j}}{(f_j^0)^k} \wedge \vartheta_{jk},$$

where u_j is a smooth non-vanishing function ϑ_{jk} is a smooth form. In the same way,

$$\pi^* M^{f_j,\lambda_j} = 1 - |f_j^0|^{2\lambda_j} u_j^{2\lambda_j} + \sum_{k \geq 1} \bar\partial\big(|f_j^0|^{2\lambda_j} u_j^{2\lambda_j}\big) \wedge \partial \log(|f_j^0|^2 u_j^2) \wedge \omega_{jk},$$

where ω_{jk} is smooth, cf. [3, Section 4]. Theorems 1.1' and 1.2 are immediate consequences of the following quite technical lemma; indeed $\partial \log(|f_j^0|^2 u_j^2) = df_j^0/f_j^0 + 2\partial u_j/u_j$.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $u_1, \dots, u_r$ be smooth non-vanishing functions defined in some neighborhood of the origin in $\mathbb{C}^n$, with coordinates $x_1, \dots, x_n$. For $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r) \in \mathbb{C}^r$, $\mathfrak{Re}\,\lambda_j \gg 0$, $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r \in \mathbb{N}^n$, and $k_1, \dots, k_r \in \mathbb{N}$, let*

$$\Gamma(\lambda) := \frac{|u_r x^{\alpha_r}|^{2\lambda_r} \cdots |u_{p+1} x^{\alpha_{p+1}}|^{2\lambda_{p+1}} \bar\partial |u_p x^{\alpha_p}|^{2\lambda_p} \wedge \cdots \wedge \bar\partial |u_1 x^{\alpha_1}|^{2\lambda_1}}{x^{k_r \alpha_r} \cdots x^{k_1 \alpha_1}};$$

here $x^{k_\ell \alpha_\ell} = x_1^{k_\ell \alpha_{\ell,1}} \cdots x_n^{k_\ell \alpha_{\ell,n}}$ if $\alpha_\ell = (\alpha_{\ell,1}, \dots, \alpha_{\ell,n})$. If σ is a permutation of $\{1, \dots, r\}$, write $\Gamma^\sigma(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r) := \Gamma(\lambda_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, \lambda_{\sigma(r)})$.

Let $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_r$ be positive integers. Then $\Gamma^\sigma(\kappa^{\mu_1}, \dots, \kappa^{\mu_r})$ has an analytic continuation to a connected neighborhood of the half-axis $[0,\infty)$ in $\mathbb{C}$, and if $\mu_1 > \ldots > \mu_r$, then

$$\Gamma^\sigma(\kappa^{\mu_1}, \dots, \kappa^{\mu_r}) \,|_{\kappa=0} = \Gamma^\sigma(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r) \,|_{\lambda_1=0} \cdots |_{\lambda_r=0}\,. \tag{2.1}$$

The reason for the permutation σ is that we have mixed products of U's and R's in Theorem 1.1'.

Proof. To begin with let us assume that all $u_j = 1$. A straightforward computation shows that

$$\Gamma(\lambda) = \lambda_1\cdots\lambda_p \frac{\prod_{j=1}^r |x^{\alpha_j}|^{2\lambda_j}}{x^{\sum_{j=1}^r k_j\alpha_j}} \sideset{}{'}\sum_I A_I \frac{d\bar{x}_{i_1}\wedge\cdots\wedge d\bar{x}_{i_p}}{\bar{x}_{i_1}\cdots\bar{x}_{i_p}} =: \lambda_1\cdots\lambda_p \sideset{}{'}\sum_I \Gamma_I,$$

where the sum is over all increasing multi-indices $I = \{i_1,\dots,i_p\}\subset\{1,\dots,n\}$ and A_I is the determinant of the matrix $(\alpha_{\ell,i_j})_{1\le\ell\le p,1\le j\le p}$.

Pick a non-vanishing summand Γ_I; without loss of generality, assume that $I = \{1,\dots,p\}$ and $A_I = 1$. With the notation $b_k(\lambda) := \sum_{\ell=1}^r \lambda_\ell\alpha_{\ell,k}$ for $1\le k\le n$,

$$\Gamma_I = \frac{\prod_{k=1}^n |x_k|^{2b_k(\lambda)}}{x^{\sum_{j=1}^r k_j\alpha_j}} \frac{d\bar{x}_1\wedge\cdots\wedge d\bar{x}_p}{\bar{x}_1\cdots\bar{x}_p} = \frac{1}{b_1(\lambda)\cdots b_p(\lambda)} \frac{\bigwedge_{k=1}^p \bar\partial|x_k|^{2b_k(\lambda)} \prod_{k=p+1}^n |x_k|^{2b_k(\lambda)}}{x^{\sum_{j=1}^r k_j\alpha_j}}.$$

Now the current-valued function

$$\widetilde{\Gamma}_I : (\lambda_1,\dots,\lambda_r) \mapsto \frac{\bigwedge_{j=1}^p \bar\partial|x_j|^{2b_j(\lambda)} \prod_{j=p+1}^n |x_j|^{2b_j(\lambda)}}{x^{\sum k_j\alpha_j}}$$

has an analytic continuation to a neighborhood of the origin in $\mathbb{C}^r$; in fact, it is a tensor product of one-variable currents. In particular, $\widetilde{\Gamma}_I(\kappa^{\mu_1},\dots,\kappa^{\mu_r})\,|_{\kappa=0} = \widetilde{\Gamma}_I(\lambda)\,|_{\lambda_1=0}\cdots|_{\lambda_r=0}$. Let

$$\gamma(\lambda) = \frac{\lambda_1\cdots\lambda_p}{b_1(\lambda)\cdots b_p(\lambda)}$$

and $\gamma^\sigma = \gamma(\lambda_{\sigma(1)},\dots,\lambda_{\sigma(r)})$. We claim that if $\mu_1 > \ldots > \mu_r$, then

$$\gamma^\sigma(\lambda)\,|_{\lambda_1=0}\cdots|_{\lambda_r=0} = \gamma^\sigma(\kappa^{\mu_1},\dots,\kappa^{\mu_r})|_{\kappa=0},$$

where it is a part of the claim that both sides make sense.

Let us prove the claim. Since $A_I = 1$, reordering the factors $b_1,\dots,b_p$ and multiplying $\gamma(\lambda)$ by a non-zero constant, we may assume that $\alpha_{kk} = 1$, $k = 1,\dots,p$, so that

$$\gamma(\lambda) = \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_1+\alpha_{21}\lambda_2+\cdots+\alpha_{r1}\lambda_r}\cdots\frac{\lambda_p}{\alpha_{p1}\lambda_1+\cdots+\lambda_p+\cdots+\alpha_{rp}\lambda_r}.$$

For $j<r$ set $\tau_j := \lambda_j/\lambda_{j+1}$ and $\widetilde{\gamma}^\sigma(\tau_1,\dots,\tau_{r-1}) := \gamma^\sigma(\lambda)$; notice that γ^σ is 0-homogeneous, so that $\widetilde{\gamma}^\sigma$ is well-defined. Then $\lambda_j = \tau_j\cdots\tau_{r-1}\lambda_r$, and therefore $\widetilde{\gamma}^\sigma$ consists of p factors of the form

$$\frac{\tau_k\cdots\tau_{r-1}}{\alpha_{k1}\tau_1\cdots\tau_{r-1}+\cdots+\tau_k\cdots\tau_{r-1}+\cdots+\alpha_{k,r-1}\tau_{r-1}+\alpha_{kr}}. \tag{2.2}$$

Observe that (2.2) is holomorphic in τ in some neighborhood of the origin. Indeed, if $\alpha_{kr}\neq 0$, then (2.2) is clearly holomorphic, whereas if $\alpha_{kr}=0$ we can factor out τ_{r-1} from the denominator and numerator. In the latter case (2.2) is clearly holomorphic if $\alpha_{k,r-1}\neq 0$ etc; since $\alpha_{kk}=1$ this procedure eventually stops. Hence, $\widetilde{\gamma}^\sigma(\tau)$ is holomorphic in a neighborhood of 0. It follows that $\gamma^\sigma(\kappa^{\mu_1},\dots,\kappa^{\mu_r}) = \widetilde{\gamma}^\sigma(\kappa^{\mu_1-\mu_2},\dots,\kappa^{\mu_{r-1}-\mu_r})$ is holomorphic in a neighborhood of 0 and since the denominator of $\gamma^\sigma(\kappa^{\mu_1},\dots,\kappa^{\mu_r})$ is a polynomial in κ with non-negative coefficients it is in fact holomorphic in a neighborhood of $[0,\infty)$. Moreover, $\gamma^\sigma(\lambda_1,\dots,\lambda_r)$ is holomorphic in $\Delta = \{|\lambda_1/\lambda_2|<\epsilon,\dots,|\lambda_{r-1}/\lambda_r|<\epsilon\}$. Let us now fix $\lambda_2\neq 0,\dots,\lambda_r\neq 0$ in Δ. Then $\gamma^\sigma(\lambda)$ is holomorphic in λ_1 in a neighborhood of the origin. Next, for $\lambda_3 \neq 0, \dots, \lambda_r \neq 0$ fixed in Δ, $\gamma^\sigma(\lambda)|_{\lambda_1=0}$ is holomorphic in λ_2 in a neighborhood of the origin, etc. It follows that

$$\gamma^\sigma(\lambda)|_{\lambda_1} \cdots |_{\lambda_r=0} = \widetilde{\gamma}^\sigma(\tau)|_{\tau=0} = \gamma^\sigma(\kappa^{\mu_1}, \dots, \kappa^{\mu_r})|_{\kappa=0},$$

which proves the claim. Thus (2.1) follows in the case $u_j = 1$, $j = 1, \dots, r$.

Now, consider the general case. Replace each $|u_j|^{2\lambda_j}$ in $\Gamma(\lambda)$ by $|u_j|^{2\omega_j}$, where $\omega_j \in \mathbb{C}$. Then Γ is a sum of terms of the following representative form:

$$\prod_{j=p+1}^{r} |u_j|^{2\omega_j} \prod_{j=1}^{p'} |u_j|^{2\omega_j} \bigwedge_{p'+1}^{p} \bar\partial |u_j|^{2\omega_j} \wedge \frac{\prod_{j=p'+1}^{r} |x^{\alpha_j}|^{2\lambda_j} \bigwedge_{j=1}^{p'} \bar\partial |x^{\alpha_j}|^{2\lambda_j}}{x^{k_r\alpha_r} \cdots x^{k_1\alpha_1}} \tag{2.3}$$

Fixing all λ_j and ω_j except for $\lambda_{\sigma(1)}$ and $\omega_{\sigma(1)}$, (2.3) becomes an analytic (current-valued) function $g(\lambda_{\sigma(1)}, \omega_{\sigma(1)})$ in a neighborhood of $0 \in \mathbb{C}^2$. Thus, the value at 0 of $g(\lambda_{\sigma(1)}, \lambda_{\sigma(1)})$ is the same as first letting $\omega_{\sigma(1)} = 0$ (which corresponds to setting $u_{\sigma(1)} = 1$) and then letting $\lambda_{\sigma(1)} = 0$ in $g(\lambda_{\sigma(1)}, \omega_{\sigma(1)})$. Continuing analogously for $(\lambda_{\sigma(2)}, \omega_{\sigma(2)})$ and so on, it follows that the right hand side of (2.1) is independent of the u_j.

To see that the left hand side of (2.1) is independent of u_j, replace each λ_j in (2.3) by $\kappa^{\mu_{\sigma(j)}}$ and denote the resulting expression by $\tilde g(\kappa, \omega_1, \dots, \omega_r)$. Then $\tilde g$ is clearly analytic in the ω_j and by the first part of the proof it is also analytic in a neighborhood of $[0, \infty) \subset \mathbb{C}_\kappa$. Hence, $\tilde g$ is analytic in a neighborhood of $0 \in \mathbb{C}^{r+1}$. The left hand side of (2.1) is obtained by evaluating $\kappa \mapsto \tilde g(\kappa, \kappa^{\mu_{\sigma(1)}}, \dots, \kappa^{\mu_{\sigma(r)}})$ at $\kappa = 0$; this is thus the same as evaluating $\tilde g(\kappa, 0)$ (which corresponds to setting all $u_j = 1$) at $\kappa = 0$. Hence also the left hand side of (2.1) is independent of the u_j and the lemma follows.

□

References

[1] M. Andersson: *Residue currents and ideals of holomorphic functions*, Bull. Sci. math. **128** (2004), 481–512.

[2] M. Andersson: *Residues of holomorphic sections and Lelong currents*, Ark. Mat. **43** (2005), 201–219.

[3] M. Andersson, H. Samuelsson, E. Wulcan, A. Yger: *Local intersection numbers and a generalized King formula*, arXiv:1009.2458.

[4] M. Andersson, D. Eriksson, H. Samuelsson, E. Wulcan, A. Yger: *Non-proper intersections and positive currents, global aspects*, in preparation.

[5] M. Andersson, E. Wulcan: *Decomposition of residue currents*, J. reine angew. Math. **638** (2010), 103–118.

[6] M.F. Atiyah: *Resolution of singularities and division of distributions*, Commun. Pure Appl. Math. **23** (1970), 145–150.

[7] D. Barlet: *Contribution effective de la monodromie aux développements asymptotiques*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **17(2)** (1984), 293–315.

[8] Berenstein, C. A., Yger, A.: *Analytic residue theory in the non-complete intersection case*, J. reine angew. Math. **527** (2000), 203–235.

[9] C.A. Berenstein, R. Gay, A. Vidras, A. Yger: *Residue currents and Bezout identities*, Progress in Mathematics 114. *Birkhäser Verlag, Basel*, 1993.

[10] I.N. Bernstein, S.I. Gelfand: *Meromorphy of the function P^λ*, Funkcional. Anal. i Priložen **3(1)** (1969), 84–85.

[11] J.-E. Björk, H. Samuelsson: *Regularizations of residue currents*, J. reine angew. Math. **649** (2010), 33–54.

[12] N.R. Coleff, M. E. Herrera: *Les courants rèsiduels associés à une forme meromorphe*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 633, Springer, Berlin, 1978.

[13] A. Dickenstein, C. Sessa: *Canonical representatives in moderate cohomology*, Invent. Math. **80(3)** (1985), 417–434.

[14] T. Gaffney, R. Gassler: *Segre numbers and hypersurface singularities*, J. Algebraic Geom. 8 (1999), 695–736.

[15] M. Herrera, D. Lieberman: *Residues and principal values on complex spaces*, Math. Ann. **194** (1971), 259–294.

[16] R. Lärkäng, H. Samuelsson Kalm: *Various approaches to products of residue currents*, J. Funct. Anal. **264(1)** (2013), 118–138.

[17] D. Massey: *Lê cycles and hypersurface singularities*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1615, Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1995), xii+131 pp..

[18] M. Passare: *Residues, currents, and their relation to ideals of holomorphic functions*, Math. Scand. **62(1))** (1988), 75–152.

[19] M. Passare: *A calculus for meromorphic currents*, J. reine angew. Math. **392)** (1988), 37–56.

[20] M. Passare, A. Tsikh: *Residue integrals and their Mellin transforms*, Canad. J. Math. **47(5)** (1995), 1037–1050.

[21] M. Passare, A. Tsikh: *Defining the residue of a complete intersection*, *Complex analysis, harmonic analysis and applications (Bordeaux, 1995)*, 250–267, Pitman Res. Notes Math. Ser., 347, *Longman, Harlow*, 1996.

[22] M. Passare, A. Tsikh, A. Yger: *Residue currents of the Bochner-Martinelli type*, Publ. Mat. **44** (2000), no. 1, 85–117.

[23] H. Samuelsson: *Analytic continuation of residue currents*, Ark. Mat. **47** (2009), no. 1, 127–141.

[24] J. Stückrad, W. Vogel: *An algebraic approach to the intersection theory*, Queen's Papers in Pure and Appl. Math. 61 (1982), 1–32.

[25] P. Tworzewski: *Intersection theory in complex analytic geometry*, Ann. Polon. Math. **62** (1995), 177–191.

[26] E. Wulcan: *Products of residue currents of Cauchy-Fantappiè-Leray type*, Ark. Mat. **45** (2007), 157–178.

[27] A. Yger: *Formules de division et prolongement méromorphe*, *Séminaire d'Analyse P. Lelong–P. Dolbeault–H. Skoda, Années 1985/1986*, 226–283, Lecture Notes in Math., 1295, *Springer, Berlin*, 1987.

M. Andersson, H. Samuelsson Kalm, E. Wulcan, Department of Mathematical Sciences, Division of Mathematics, University of Gothenburg and Chalmers University of Technology, SE-412 96 Göteborg, Sweden

E-mail address: `matsa@chalmers.se, hasam@chalmers.se, wulcan@chalmers.se`

A. Yger, Institut de Mathématiques, Université Bordeaux 1, 33405 Talence, France

E-mail address: `Alain.Yger@math.u-bordeaux1.fr`